arXiv:1610.08848v1 [math.AP] 27 Oct 2016

On the one-dimensional continuity equation with a nearly incompressible vector field

Nikolay A. Gusev[*]

October 28, 2016

Abstract

We consider the Cauchy problem for the continuity equation with a bounded nearly incompressible vector field $b\colon (0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$, $T>0$. This class of vector fields arises in the context of hyperbolic conservation laws (in particular, the Keyfitz-Kranzer system).

It is well known that in the generic multi-dimensional case ($d\geq 1$) near incompressibility is sufficient for existence of bounded weak solutions, but uniqueness may fail (even when the vector field is divergence-free), and hence further assumptions on the regularity of b (e.g. Sobolev regularity) are needed in order to obtain uniqueness.

We prove that in the one-dimensional case ($d=1$) near incompressibility is sufficient for existence *and* uniqueness of *locally integrable* weak solutions. We also study compactness properties of the associated Lagrangian flows.

1 Introduction

Let $b\in L^\infty(I\times\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote a time-dependent vector field on $\mathbb{R}^d$, where $I=(0,T)$, $T>0$, $d\in\mathbb{N}$. Consider the Cauchy problem for the continuity equation

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t u+\operatorname{div}_x(ub)=0 & \text{in } I\times\mathbb{R}^d,\\ u|_{t=0}=\bar u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d,\end{cases}\tag{1.1}$$

where $\bar u\in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the initial condition and $u\colon I\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$ is the unknown. A function $u\in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ is called *weak solution of* (1.1) if it satisifies (1.1) in sense of distributions:

$$\int\int u(t,x)(\partial_t\varphi(t,x)+b(t,x)\partial_x\varphi(t,x))\,dx\,dt+\int\bar u(x)\varphi(0,x)\,dx=0$$

for any $\varphi\in C^1_c([0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$.

[*]Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences; email: n.a.gusev@gmail.com

Existence and uniqueness of weak solution of (1.1) are well-known when the vector field b is Lipschitz continuous. However in connection with many problems in mathematical physics one has to study (1.1) when b is non-Lipschitz (in general). In particular, vector fields with Sobolev regularity arise in connection with fluid mechanics [1], and vector fields with bounded variation arise in connection with nonlinear hyperbolic conservation laws [2]. Therefore one would like to find the weakest assumptions on b under which weak solution of (1.1) exists and is unique.

For a generic bounded vector field b concentrations may occur and therefore the Cauchy problem (1.1) can have no bounded weak solutions. However under mild additional assumptions on b existence of bounded weak solutions can be proved. Namely, the following class of vector fields has been studied in connection with the so-called Keyfitz-Kranzer system (introduced in [3]):

Definition 1.1. *A vector field $b \in L^\infty(I \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is called* nearly incompressible with density $\rho\colon I \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ *if there exists $C > 0$ such that $1/C \le \rho(t,x) \le C$ for a.e. $(t,x) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and ρ solves $\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}_x(\rho b) = 0$ (in sense of distributions).*

It is well-known that near incompressibility is sufficient for existence of bounded weak solutions of (1.1). However in the generic multidimensional case ($d \ge 2$) it is not sufficient for uniqueness. For example, there exists a bounded divergence-free autonomous vector field on the plane ($d = 2$), for which (1.1) has a nontrivial bounded weak solution with zero initial data [4].

Uniqueness of weak solutions has been established for some classes of weakly differentiable vector fields [1, 2]. Recently new uniqueness results were obtained for continuous vector fields [5, 6] (without explicit assumptions on weak differentiablilty). Note that in general a nearly incompressible vector field does not have to be continuous (and vice versa). Uniqueness of locally integrable weak solutions has been proved in [7] for Sobolev vector fields under additional assumption of continuity.

Uniqueness of bounded weak solutions for nearly incompressible vector fields in the two-dimensional case ($d = 2$) was also studied in [8]. In particular it was proved that uniqueness holds when $b \neq 0$ a.e., or when $b \in BV$.

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that $b \in L^\infty(I \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ is nearly incompressible. Then for any initial condition $\bar{u} \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ the Cauchy problem (1.1) has a* unique *weak solution $u \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(I \times \mathbb{R})$.*

Existence of bounded weak solutions of (1.1) with bounded $\bar{u}$ for nearly incompressible vector fields is well-known (see e.g. [9] for the case of vector fields with bounded divergence). Uniqueness of bounded weak solutions in the one-dimensional case has already been proved in [10]. The novelty of Theorem 1.2 is that it applies to merely locally integrable weak solutions.

2 Uniqueness of locally integrable weak solutions

Definition 2.1. *A non-negative function $\rho \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(I\times\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R})$ is called a* density associated with a vector field $b \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(I\times\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)$ *if $\rho b \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(I\times\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\partial_t\rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho b) = 0$ in $\mathscr{D}'(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Remark 2.2. If a vector field $b \in L^\infty(I\times\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)$ admits a density ρ and there exist strictly positive constants C_1, C_2 such that $C_1 \le \rho(t,x) \le C_2$ for a.e. $(t,x) \in I\times\mathbb{R}^d$ then b is nearly incompressible.

Suppose that a vector field $b \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(I\times\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)$ admits a density ρ. Since $\partial_t\rho + \partial_x(\rho b) = 0$ in $\mathscr{D}'((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})$ there exists $H \in W^{1,1}_{\mathrm{loc}}((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\partial_x H = \rho \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t H = -\rho b. \tag{2.1}$$

in $\mathscr{D}'(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Definition 2.3. *If a function $H\colon I\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfies (2.1) then it is called a* Hamiltonian associated with (ρ, b).

Clearly the Hamiltonian H is unique up to an additive constant. Moreover, if $\rho, b \in L^\infty(I\times\mathbb{R})$ then the Hamiltonian can be chosen in such a way that it is Lipschitz continuous, i.e. $H \in \mathrm{Lip}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose that a vector field $b \in L^\infty(I\times\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$ admits a density $\rho \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(I\times\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$ such that $\rho(t,x) > 0$ for a.e. $(t,x) \in I\times\mathbb{R}$. If $u \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(I\times\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$ is a weak solution of (1.1) with $\bar u \equiv 0$ then $u(t,x) = 0$ for a.e. $(t,x) \in I\times\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Step 1. Let $H \in \mathrm{Lip}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$ be a Hamiltonian associated with (ρ, b). We would like to use test functions of the form $\varphi(t,x) := f(H(t,x))$ in the districutional formulation of (1.1), where $f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R})$. In general such functions could be not compactly supported, therefore we apply an approximation argument.

For any $(t,x) \in (-T,0)\times\mathbb{R}$ let $H(t,x) := H(-t,x)$. Clearly $\partial_x H = \tilde\rho$ and $\partial_t H = \tilde\rho\tilde b$ in $\mathscr{D}'((-T,T)\times\mathbb{R})$, where

$$\tilde\rho(t,x) := \begin{cases} \rho(t,x), & t > 0,\\ \rho(-t,x), & t < 0; \end{cases} \qquad \tilde b(t,x) := \begin{cases} b(t,x), & t > 0,\\ -b(-t,x), & t < 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $\omega_\varepsilon(z) := \varepsilon^{-2}\omega(\varepsilon^{-2}z)$, where $\omega \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is the standard mollification kernel. Let $H_\varepsilon := H * \omega_\varepsilon$, where $*$ denotes the convolution. Clearly

$$\partial_x H_\varepsilon = \tilde\rho_\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t H_\varepsilon = -(\tilde\rho\tilde b)_\varepsilon. \tag{2.2}$$

Hence for any $t \in (-T+\varepsilon, T-\varepsilon)$ the function $H_\varepsilon(t,\cdot)$ is strictly increasing.

Step 2. Let $h \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that the level set $L_{\varepsilon,h} := \{(t,x) \in (-T+\varepsilon, T-\varepsilon)\times\mathbb{R} : H_\varepsilon(t,x) = h\}$ is not empty.

Suppose that $\tau, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau^2 + \xi^2 = 1$. If $|\xi| > \|b\|_\infty |\tau|$ then the derivative of H in the direction $\nu := (\tau, \xi)$ satisfies

$$\partial_\nu H = \tau \partial_t H + \xi \partial_x H = -\tau(\tilde{\rho b})_\varepsilon + \xi \tilde{\rho}_\varepsilon \geq (\xi - \tau \|b\|_\infty)\rho_\varepsilon > 0,$$

therefore for any $(t, x) \in L_{\varepsilon,h}$ the level set $L_{\varepsilon,h}$ is contained in some cone:

$$L_{\varepsilon,h} \subset \{(t', x') : (x' - x) \leq \|b_\infty\|(t' - t)\}. \tag{2.3}$$

Consequently $L_{\varepsilon,h}$ is a bounded subset of $(-T + \varepsilon, T - \varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$.

Fix $(t, x) \in L_{\varepsilon,h}$. Since $\partial_x H_\varepsilon = \tilde{\rho}_\varepsilon > 0$, by Implicit Function Theorem the level set $L_{\varepsilon,h}$ in some neighborhood $U = (t - \delta, t + \delta) \times (x - \delta, x + \delta)$ of (t, x) can be represented as a graph of a smooth function $\tau \mapsto Y_\varepsilon(\tau, h)$:

$$L_{\varepsilon,h} \cap U = \{(\tau, Y_\varepsilon(\tau, h)) \mid \tau \in (t - \delta, t + \delta)\}. \tag{2.4}$$

Moreover,

$$\partial_\tau Y_\varepsilon(\tau, h) = -\left.\frac{(\partial_t H_\varepsilon)(\tau, x)}{(\partial_x H_\varepsilon)(\tau, x)}\right|_{x = Y_\varepsilon(\tau,h)} \overset{(2.2)}{\Rightarrow} \quad |\partial_\tau Y_\varepsilon| \leq \frac{|(\tilde{\rho b})_\varepsilon|}{|\tilde{\rho}_\varepsilon|} \leq \|b\|_\infty. \tag{2.5}$$

Let $P_{\varepsilon,h} := \pi_t(L_{\varepsilon,h})$, where $\pi_t(\tau, x) := \tau$ is the projection on the t-axis. By (2.4) $P_{\varepsilon,h}$ is open. On the other hand $P_{\varepsilon,h}$ is closed in $(-T + \varepsilon, T - \varepsilon)$, since $L_{\varepsilon,h} = H_\varepsilon^{-1}(h)$ is closed in $(-T+\varepsilon, T-\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$. Therefore $P_{\varepsilon,h} = (-T+\varepsilon, T-\varepsilon)$.

The image $R_\varepsilon := H_\varepsilon((-T + \varepsilon, T - \varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is connected, since H_ε is continuous and $(-T + \varepsilon, T - \varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$ is connected. Moreover, since for any $t \in (-T+\varepsilon, T-\varepsilon)$ the function $x \mapsto H(t, x)$ is strictly increasing and continuous, the images $H(t, \mathbb{R})$ are open and hence

$$R_\varepsilon = \cup_{t \in (-T+\varepsilon, T-\varepsilon)} H_\varepsilon(t, \mathbb{R})$$

is open. Therefore R_ε is an open interval.

We have thus proved that for any $h \in R_\varepsilon$ the level set $L_{\varepsilon,h}$ can be *globally* represented as a graph of a smooth function $\tau \mapsto Y_\varepsilon(\tau, h)$, where $\tau \in (-T + \varepsilon, T - \varepsilon)$ and moreover $|\partial_\tau Y_\varepsilon| \leq \|b\|_\infty$ by (2.5).

Step 3. Using Fubini's theorem and the distributional formulation of (1.1) one can show that there exists a Lebesgue-negligible set $N \subset (0, T)$ such that for any $\tau \in (0, T) \setminus N$ the function $x \mapsto \rho(t, x)$ is strictly positive for a.e. x and for all $\varphi \in \mathrm{Lip}_c([0, \tau] \times R)$ it holds that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(\tau, x)\varphi(\tau, x)\, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}(x)\varphi(0, x)\, dx = \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}} u \cdot (\partial_t \varphi + b \partial_x \varphi)\, dx\, dt \tag{2.6}$$

Let us fix $\tau \in (0, T) \setminus N$ and consider $\varepsilon \in (0, T - \tau)$. By (2.1) the function $x \mapsto H(\tau, x)$ is strictly increasing and continuous. Hence the image $I_\tau := H(\tau, \mathbb{R})$ is a nonempty open interval.

Consider $f \in C_c^\infty(I_\tau)$ and let $\varphi_\varepsilon(t, x) := f(H_\varepsilon(t, x))$. We claim that there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and a compact $K \subset [0, \tau] \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathrm{supp}\, \varphi_\varepsilon \subset K$$

for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$.

Indeed, the support of f is contained in some finite interval (α, β) such that $[\alpha, \beta] \subset I_\tau$. Let us fix $\alpha_1 \in I_\tau \setminus [\alpha, +\infty)$ and $\beta_1 \in I_\tau \setminus (-\infty, \beta]$. By definition of I_τ there exist x_1 and y_1 such that $H(t, x_1) = \alpha_1$ and $H(t, y_1) = \beta_1$. Since $H_\varepsilon(t, x_1) \to H(t, x_1)$ and $H_\varepsilon(t, y_1) \to H(t, y_1)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ we can find $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $R_\varepsilon \supset (\alpha, \beta)$ for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$.

Since $x \mapsto H_\varepsilon(\tau, x)$ is strictly monotone and continuous, there exist unique x_0 and y_0 such that $H(x_0, \tau) = \alpha$ and $H(y_0, \tau) = \beta$ Since the support of f is a compact subset of (α, β) and $H_\varepsilon(x_0, \tau) \to \alpha$ and $H_\varepsilon(y_0, \tau) \to \beta$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{supp} f \subset (H_\varepsilon(x_0, \tau), H_\varepsilon(y_0, \tau))$$

whenever $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$. Hence the support of φ_ε (restricted to $[0, \tau] \times \mathbb{R}$) is confined by the level sets of H_ε, passing through x_0 and y_0:

$$\operatorname{supp} \varphi_\varepsilon \subset \{(t, x) \mid t \in [0, \tau],\ x \in [Y_\varepsilon(t, H_\varepsilon(\tau, x_0)), Y_\varepsilon(t, H_\varepsilon(\tau, y_0))]\} \overset{(2.5)}{\subset} K,$$

where

$$K := \{(t, x) \mid t \in [0, \tau], x \in [x_0 - \|b\|_\infty(\tau - t), y_0 + \|b\|_\infty(\tau - t)]\}.$$

Step 4. Now we are in a position to use φ_ε as a test function in (2.6). First we observe that

$$\partial_t \varphi_\varepsilon + b \partial_x \varphi_\varepsilon = f'(H_\varepsilon(t, x))(\partial_t H_\varepsilon + b \partial_x H_\varepsilon) = f'(H_\varepsilon(t, x))(-(\tilde{\rho}\tilde{b})_\varepsilon + b\tilde{\rho}_\varepsilon) \to 0$$

a.e. on $(0, \tau) \times \mathbb{R}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Since $\bar{u} \equiv 0$, by (2.6) and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(\tau, x) \varphi_\varepsilon(\tau, x)\, dx = \int \int_K u \cdot (\partial_t \varphi_\varepsilon + b \partial_x \varphi_\varepsilon)\, dx\, dt \to 0 \tag{2.7}$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. (Indeed, $|u \cdot (\partial_t \varphi_\varepsilon + b \partial_x \varphi_\varepsilon)| \le \|f\|_{C^1} \|\rho\|_{L^\infty(K)} (1 + \|b\|_\infty)|u| \in L^1(K)$.) Since $H_\varepsilon(\tau, \cdot) \to H(\tau, \cdot)$ uniformly on $[x_0, y_0]$, the left-hand side of the equality above converges to $\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(\tau, x) f(H(t, x))\, dx$. We have thus proved that

$$\int u(\tau, x) f(H(\tau, x))\, dx = 0 \tag{2.8}$$

for all $f \in C_c^1(I_\tau)$. Approximating $f \in C_c(I_\tau)$ with a sequence of functions from $C_c^1(I_\tau)$ it is easy to see that (2.8) holds for any $f \in C_c(I_\tau)$.

Fix $\psi \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$. Since $x \mapsto H(\tau, x)$ is strictly monotone and continuous, it has a continuous inverse, and therefore we can find $f \in C_c(I_\tau)$ such that $\psi(x) = f(H(\tau, x))$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore by (2.8)

$$\int u(\tau, x) \psi(x)\, dx = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

for any $\psi \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$. Hence $u(\tau, \cdot) \equiv 0$. Since this argument is valid for any $\tau \in (0, T) \setminus N$, we conclude that $u(\tau, \cdot) = 0$ a.e. for a.e. $\tau \in I$. □

From the proof above one can also deduce the following result:

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose that a vector field $b \in L^\infty(I\times\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$ admits a density $\rho \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(I\times\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$ such that $\rho(t,x) > 0$ for a.e. $(t,x) \in I\times\mathbb{R}$. If $u \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(I\times\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$ is a weak solution of (1.1) with $\bar{u} \equiv 0$ then $u(t,x) = 0$ for a.e. $(t,x) \in I\times\mathbb{R}$.*

The proof repeats the proof of Theorem 2.4. Only when passing to the limit in (2.7) we have to argue slightly differently. Namely, since $\tilde{\rho} \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}([-T,T]\times\mathbb{R})$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u\cdot(\partial_t\varphi_\varepsilon + v\partial_x\varphi_\varepsilon)\|_{L^1(K)} \le \|u\|_{L^\infty(K)}\cdot\|-(\tilde{\rho}\tilde{b})_\varepsilon + b\tilde{\rho}_\varepsilon\|\\ &\le \|u\|_{L^\infty(K)}\cdot\big(\|-(\tilde{\rho}\tilde{b})_\varepsilon + \tilde{\rho}\tilde{b}\|_{L^1(K)} + \|-\tilde{\rho}\tilde{b} + b\tilde{\rho}_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(K)}\big)\to 0\end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon\to 0$. □

3 Lagrangian flows and existence of weak solutions

Suppose that $b \in L^\infty(I\times\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$ is a nearly incompressible vector field with density $\rho \in L^\infty(I\times\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$. Let $H \in \mathrm{Lip}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$ be a Hamiltonian associated with (ρ,b).

By (2.1) and Fubini's theorem for a.e. $t \in I$ for all $x,y\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $x<y$ it holds that

$$C_1(y-x) \le H(t,y) - H(t,x) \le C_2(y-x), \tag{3.1}$$

where C_1, C_2 are the constants from Definition 1.1. By continuity of H (3.1) holds for all $t \in \bar{I}$. Hence for any $t\in\bar{I}$ the function $x\mapsto H(t,x)$ is strictly increasing and *bilipschitz*. Consequently, for any $h\in\mathbb{R}$ there exists unique $Y(t,h)\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $H(t,Y(t,h)) = h$.

By (3.1) for any $t\in[0,T]$ there exists a function $\rho_t \in L^\infty$ such that $C_1 \le \rho_t \le C_2$ a.e. and

$$\partial_x H(t,x) = \rho_t(x)$$

in $\mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{R})$. Note that by continuity of H the function $I\ni t\mapsto\rho_t\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is $*$-weak continuous and therefore ρ solves the Cauchy problem for the continuity equation (1.1) with the initial data ρ_0. In view of (2.1) for a.e. $t\in I$ we have $\rho(t,x) = \rho_t(x)$ for a.e. x. Since we can always redefine ρ on a negligible set, for convenience we will assume that the last equality holds for *all* $t\in[0,T]$.

Lemma 3.1. *The function Y is Lipschitz continuous on $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, there exists a negligible set $M\subset\mathbb{R}$ such that for all $h\in\mathbb{R}\setminus M$*

$$\partial_t Y(t,h) = b(t,Y(t,h)) \tag{3.2}$$

in $\mathscr{D}'(I)$. Finally, for all $t\in[0,T]$

$$Y(t,\cdot)_\#\mathscr{L} = \rho(t,\cdot)\mathscr{L}. \tag{3.3}$$

Here $f_{\#}\mu$ denotes the image of the measure μ under the map f and $\mathscr{L}$ denotes the Lebesgue measure (we use the notation from [11]).

Proof. By (3.1) for any $h, h' \in \mathbb{R}$ it holds that

$$C_1|Y(t,h) - Y(t,h')| \le |H(t,Y(t,h)) - H(t,Y(t,h'))| = |h - h'|$$

hence the function $h \mapsto Y(t,h)$ is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant $1/C_1$.

Fix $(t,x) \in I \times \mathbb{R}$. In view of (2.1) and Fubini's theorem for a.e. $(t',x') \in I \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $|x' - x| > \|b\|_\infty |t' - t|$ it holds that

$$|H(t',x') - H(t,x)| \ge C_1(|x' - x| - \|b\|_\infty |t' - t|). \tag{3.4}$$

By continuity of H, (3.4) holds for *all* $(t',x') \in I \times \mathbb{R}$. Hence for any $h \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $(t,x) \in H^{-1}(h)$ the level set $H^{-1}(h)$ is contained in a cone:

$$H^{-1}(h) \subset \{(t',x') \in I \times \mathbb{R} : |x' - x| \le \|b\|_\infty |t' - t|\}, \tag{3.5}$$

therefore for any $h \in \mathbb{R}$ the function $t \mapsto Y(t,h)$ is Lischitz continuous with Lipschitz constant $\|b\|_\infty$.

In view of Rademacher's theorem the functions H and Y are differentiable a.e. on $I \times \mathbb{R}$. Hence by chain rule and taking into account (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \partial_t h &= \partial_t H(t,Y(t,h)) = \partial_t H(t,Y(t,h)) + \partial_x H(t,Y(t,h))\partial_t Y(t,h) \\ &= -\rho(t,Y(t,h))b(t,Y(t,h)) + \rho(t,Y(t,h))\partial_t Y(t,h). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \partial_h h &= \partial_h H(t,Y(t,h)) = \partial_x H(t,Y(t,h))\partial_h Y(t,h) \\ &= \rho(t,Y(t,h))\partial_h Y(t,h) \end{aligned}$$

for a.e. $(t,h) \in I \times \mathbb{R}$. Hence (3.2) holds and moreover for any $\varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \varphi \, dY(t,\cdot)_{\#}\mathscr{L} &= \int \varphi(Y(t,h))\, dh \\ &= \int \varphi(Y(t,h))\rho(t,Y(t,h))\partial_h Y(t,h)\, dh = \int \varphi(y)\rho(t,y)\, dy \end{aligned}$$

(by Area formula, see e.g. [11]). Thus (3.3) is proved. □

We define the *flow* X of b as

$$X(t,x) := Y(t,H(0,x)). \tag{3.6}$$

Note that X is independent of the additive constant in the definition of H. In order to show that X is independent of the choice of ρ we recall the definition of regular Lagrangian flow (see [9]) and the corresponding uniqueness result:

Definition 3.2. *Let $b\colon [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded measurable vector field. We say that a map $X\colon [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is a regular Lagrangian flow relative to b if*

1. *for $\mathscr{L}^d$-a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the map $t \mapsto X(t,x)$ is an absolutely continuous integral solution of $\dot\gamma(t) = b(t,\gamma(t))$ for $t \in [0,T]$ with $\gamma(0) = x$;*

2. *there exists a constant $L > 0$ independent of t such that $X(t,\cdot)_\# \mathscr{L}^d \le L\mathscr{L}^d$.*

Proposition 3.3 (see [9], Theorem 6.4.1)**.** *Let $b\colon [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded measurable vector field. Assume that the only weak solution $u \in L^\infty(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ of (1.1) with $\bar u = 0$ is $u = 0$. Then the regular Lagrangian flow relative to b, if it exists, is unique. Assume in addition that (1.1) with $\bar u = 1$ has a positive solution $u \in L^\infty(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then we have existence of a regular Lagrangian flow relative to b.*

By Lemma 3.1 the flow X defined in (3.6) is a regular Lagrangian flow of b. Indeed, by 3.3

$$X_\#(\rho(0,\cdot)\mathscr{L}) = Y(t,\cdot)_\# H(0,\cdot)_\#(\rho(0,\cdot)\mathscr{L}) = Y(t,\cdot)_\#\mathscr{L} = \rho(t,\cdot)\mathscr{L}. \tag{3.7}$$

Since Theorem 2.4 implies uniqueness of bounded weak solutions of (1.1), Proposition 3.3 immediately implies uniqueness of regular Lagrangian flow of b. Hence X is independent of the choice of the density ρ.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $b \in L^\infty(I\times\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$ be nearly incompressible with the density ρ. Let X be the flow of b. Then for any $\bar u \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ there exists a function $u \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(I\times\mathbb{R})$ such that for a.e. $t \in I$*

$$u(t,\cdot)\mathscr{L} = X(t,\cdot)_\#(\bar u\mathscr{L})$$

and the function u solves (1.1).

Proof. It is straightforward to check that for any $t \in [0,T]$ the inverse $X^{-1}(t,\cdot)$ of the function $X(t,\cdot)$ is given by $X^{-1}(t,x) = Y(0,H(t,x))$. We define $u(t,x)$ as follows:

$$u(t,x) := \frac{\bar u(X^{-1}(t,x))}{\rho(0,X^{-1}(t,x))}\rho(t,x).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} u(t,\cdot)\mathscr{L} &= \frac{\bar u(X^{-1}(t,\cdot))}{\rho(0,X^{-1}(t,\cdot))} X_\#(\rho(0,\cdot)\mathscr{L}) \\ &= X_\#\left(\frac{\bar u(\cdot)}{\rho(0,\cdot)}\rho(0,\cdot)\mathscr{L}\right) = X(t,\cdot)_\#(\bar u\mathscr{L}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for any $\varphi \in C^1_c([0,T)\times\mathbb{R})$ by Definition 3.2 and Theorem 3.4

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_I\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_t\varphi + b\partial_x\varphi)u(t,x)\,dx\,dt = \int_I\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_t\varphi + b\partial_x\varphi)dX(t,\cdot)_\#(\bar u\mathscr{L})\,dt \\ &= \int_I\int_{\mathbb{R}} [(\partial_t\varphi)(t,X(t,x)) + b(t,X(t,x))(\partial_x\varphi)(t,X(t,x))]\bar u(x)\,dx\,dt \\ &= \int_I\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_t(\varphi(t,X(t,x)))\bar u(x)\,dx\,dt \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t,x)\bar u(x)\,dx\,dt. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Existence follows from Theorem 3.4 and uniqueness follows from Theorem 2.4. □

Remark 3.5. It would be interesting to study existence and uniqueness of weak solutions of (1.1) for vector fields admitting non-negative density which may vanish on the sets of positive measure. Such vector fields (in particular in dimension one) are relevant to the Kuramoto-Sakaguchi equation [12].

4 Compactness of flows

In [13] Bressan has proposed the following conjecture:

Conjecture 4.1 ([13])**.** *Consider a sequence of smooth vector fields $b_n\colon I\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$ which are uniformly bounded, i.e. $|b_n|\le C$ for some $C>0$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Let $X_n=X_n(t,x)$ denote the classical flow of b_n, i.e.*

$$X_n(0,x)=x,\qquad \partial_t X_n(t,x)=b_n(t,X_n(t,x)).$$

Suppose that there exist constants C_1, C_2

$$C_1\le|\det(\nabla_x X(t,x))|\le C_2,\quad (t,x)\in I\times\mathbb{R}^d,$$
$$\|\nabla_x b_n\|_{L^1}\le C_3.$$

Then the sequence X_n is strongly precompact in $L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(I\times\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Theorem 4.2. *Consider a sequence of one-dimensional vector fields $b_n\in L^\infty(I\times\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$ which are uniformly bounded, i.e. $|b_n|\le C$ for some $C>0$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Let $X_n=X_n(t,x)$ denote the (regular Lagrangian) flow of b_n. Suppose that for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$ the vector field b_n is nearly incompressible with density ρ_n and there exist constants C_1,C_2 such that*

$$C_1\le\rho_n\le C_2$$

a.e. on $I\times\mathbb{R}$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Then the sequence X_n is precompact in $C(K)$ for any compact $K\subset I\times\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. By (3.6) and the estimates from the proof of Lemma 3.1 one can easily deduce that for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$

$$|X_n(t,x)-X_n(t',x')|\le\frac{C_2}{C_1}|x-x'|+\|b\|_\infty|t-t'|$$

for all $x,x'\in\mathbb{R}$ and $t,t'\in[0,T]$. Therefore it remains to apply Arzelà-Ascoli theorem. □

Remark 4.3. Theorem 4.2 shows that in the one-dimensional case Conjecture holds even without assuming BV bound (4.1). A quantitative version of Conjecture 4.1 assuming only the BV bound (4.1) (without near incompressibility) has be established in [14].

5 Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant №14-50-00005. The author would like to thank Debora Amadori, Paolo Bonicatto, François Bouchot and Gianluca Crippa for interesting discussions of this work and their valuable remarks.

References

[1] R. J. DiPerna, P.-L. Lions. Ordinary differential equations, transport theory and Sobolev spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 98:511–547, 1989.

[2] L. Ambrosio. Transport equation and Cauchy problem for BV vector fields. *Invent. Math.*, 158:227–260, 2004.

[3] B. L. Keyfitz, H. C. Kranzer. A system of nonstrictly hyperbolic conservation laws arising in elasticity theory. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 72:219–241, 1979.

[4] G. Alberti, S. Bianchini, G. Crippa. A uniqueness result for the continuity equation in two dimensions. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 16(2):201–234, 2014.

[5] G. Crippa. Lagrangian flows and the one-dimensional Peano phenomenon for ODEs. *J. Differential Equations*, 250:3135–3149, 2011.

[6] V.I. Bogachev, G. Da Prato, M. Röckner, S.V. Shaposhnikov. On the uniqueness of solutions to continuity equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 259:3854–3873, 2015.

[7] L. Caravenna, G. Crippa. Uniqueness and lagrangianity for solutions with low integrability of the continuity equation. *Preprint*, 2016.

[8] S. Bianchini, P. Bonicatto, and N. A. Gusev. Renormalization for autonomous nearly incompressible BV vector fields in two dimensions. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 48(1):1–33, jan 2016.

[9] G. Crippa. The flow associated to weakly differentiable vector fields. *Theses of Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa (New Series)*, 12, 2009.

[10] F. Bouchut, F. James. One-dimensional transport equations with discontinuous coefficients. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, 32(7):891–933, 1998.

[11] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco, and D. Pallara. *Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems*. Oxford mathematical monographs. Clarendon Press, Oxford, New York, 2000.

[12] D. Amadori, S. Ha, J. Park. On the global well-posedness of bv weak solutions to the Kuramoto-Sakaguchi equation, 2016.

[13] A. Bressan. An ill posed Cauchy problem for a hyperbolic system in two space dimensions. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 110:103–117, 2003.

[14] S. Bianchini. On Bressan's conjecture on mixing properties of vector fields. *Banach Center Publications*, 74(1):13–31, 2006.